SUPREME COURT DECISION No. 1686

Is the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada. Appealed from 1st. Judicial District Court, Lyon County.

C. F. Fox, Plaintiff & Respondent.

Mrs. Harriet Benard as executrix of the last will and testament of William M. Bernard, deceased, Mrs. Harriet Orth and J. C. Orth, Defendants and

Appellants, 6. E. Mack and Geo. D. Pyne, Attys. for Respondent, John Lothrops and A. Chartz, for

Appellants.

Decision

On February 18, 1893, the plaint if loaned \$400 to William Bernard; now deceased, and to secure the payment thereof he deeded to plaintiff on that plain, and at the same time plaint's ment, was not too late. executed to him a bond for a deed whereby he agreed to re-convey the begun within the time required by preperty on or before February 18, the provisions of the Probate Act 1838, provided that he was paid on or after the rejection of the claim by before that date \$400, and also \$36 the executrix. Whether this is so is annually. On February 8, 1896 plaint immurrerial for although she as exeiff loaned Bernard the additional sum curtrix is named as a party defendant, of \$600 and accepted as security for the allegations of the complaint and \$1000, and interest a deed made the decree may be considered as to plaintin at the time he \$400 was running against the property only. borrowed, and by release made in No judgment for any deficiency after writing acknowledged and recorded, Bernard then relieved him from all obligations resulting from the bend upon plaintiff executed to Bernard a extent would not be curtailed nor new bond, dated bebruary 8, 1896, conditioned that plaintiff would make and deliver a good and sufficient con veyance of the property to Bernard. , provided Plaint'd was paid \$1000 on or before January 1, 1900 and also \$59 annually, and further provisioned that if Bernard paid these amounts it is desired to reach the assets of and the taxes he would be entitled to the use and possession of the premises A receipt and the statement or admission of Bernard a short time before his death indicate that the only navments were on interest to the 8th, day of February 1897. He died the fellow ig year and letters test-Mrs. Harriet Bernard who has since pursons at law, the creditors could married C. J. Orth. Platintiff's des have et proof payment by forcelosure mand arising out of the above tran- of the mortgage within four years sactions was presented against the after the exact or action, accrited estate and by her as executrix was He had two remedies, one upon the referred on August 29, 1820. There debt, the other upon the more gaget is restimous indicating that the had by losing one he does not necessarily previously recognized the demand by lose the other." Since the rendition endeavoring to borrow money for its of the decision the time for commence. passions. On July 24, 1901 the propering actions on written instruments. erry was set over to her by decree has been extended from four to six of distribution. From a judgment de. years and under well recognized

The well settled doctrine that a deed executed merely for the purpose of securing a debt will be construed as a mortgage is not assailed, but for appellant it is contended that as suit was not brought until April, 1904. more than six years after the last loan and the giving of the last bond on February 8, 1896, and more than four years after the time, January 1, 1900 fixed for a conveyance thereunder conditioned on payment, the action is barred by the statute of limitations. It is said that by executing a written release of the first bond and accepting a new one instead, at the time he borrowed the last amount, \$600, Bernard did not sign any writing agreeing to pay or acknowledging a debt, and that therefore the obligation to pay on his part was merely verbal and would be barred in four years. We do noteso view that transaction. Most instrument in dally use, such as deeds parties, but are not for that reason amounts now due are paid. verbal nor half verbal. Although Berhard executed no note or writing the property to plaintiff, and by this suit and the decree no more is sought than he under his signature obligated nusself to yield. In equity the extens ; of the time for a reconveyand by plaint's, given by the surreace of the first bond and the execution of a new one ought to be

she appeals.

which would have left the title in plaintiff as it now stands. It was not necessary to have these extra deeds and if they had been executed they would not have varied the time for bringing su't and the initiation of the running of the statute which was controlled by the last bond and the date therein fixed and extended for payment and reconveyance.

Plaintiff is fortsfied with a writing for all that is awarded him by the judgment and for more if the property is worth more.

The loan and giving of the security which vary the unconditional terms of the deed, and which are shown verbally, are facts favorable to appellant which it would have been incumbent upon her to prove if plaintiff had sied in ejectment for the properry and introduced the deed. The bringing of the action four years and four months after January 1, 1900, the time fixed in the last bond for day the lands described in the com- a reconveyance conditioned on pay-

It is also urged that suit was not sale or otherwise against the estate is demanded or given by the decree, which is directed only against the made February 18, 1893, and there. premises and plaintings rights to this affected by failure to present a claim to the executrix, nor by her rejection of the claim filed, nor by his ommission to sue within the time prescribed for commencing actions on rejected claims against estates of deceased persons, as is necessary when

In Cookes V. Culberston, 9 Nev. 207,

as here, a deed was given as security for a loan which was not evidenced in writing. It was said in the opinion "The remedy upon the debt is barred by the statute, but the dest was not thereby extinguished; and as the statute of Emitations of this State creeing the deed to plaint if to be principles plaint if was allowed that satisfy the amount, \$1731.25 and termination of the bond or a re-con-\$76.40 costs, bound due to plaintiff, veyance, which was January 1, 1900. As said in Borden V. Clow, 21 Nev. 278, "It is a rule in regard to the statute of limitations that the statute begins to run when the debt is due and an action can be instituted upon it." Under the argument for appeliant the four years from the final loan on February 8, 1896 to the time for payment of the \$1000 under the bond on January 1, 1900, would be deducted from the six years allowed for bringing swit, and on that theory if the intaurity of the loan had been more than six years, instead of four plaintiff's cause of action would have

een barred before it accrued... The judgment of the District Court

is affirmed. TALBOT, J. We concur. Fitzgerald, C. J.

Norcross, J. Carson Cemetary Water Wards

Notice is hereby given that water has been turned on at the Cemetary mortgages, notes, orders, drafts and and that no person in arrears will be checks are signed by only one of the allowed the use of water until the

Patrons are further notified that it is the intention of the Trustees to agreeing to pay any money, he signed give a six months service this season, a deed absolute in terms conveying instead of five months as heretofore, to do this prompt payment by water users will be neccessary.

April 24, 1906 GEO. W. KEITH Secretary and Collector.

> 414 Lost

A pair of eye glasses with gold considered as effective as if plaint chain attached, in case. The finder iff had conveyed the property to Ber- will be rewarded by leaving the same nard and taken new deed from him, at this office.

SUPREME COURT DECISION No. 1681.

in The Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

Villiam J. Brandon, Appellant, vs. N. H. West, as Administrator of the Estate of B. C. Clow, Deceased, et al., Respondents.

Mesers, Mack and Farrington, for Appellant

Messrs. Cheney and Massey for Respondents. From the 2nd Judicial District Court

Washoe County. On Petition for Rehearing

The respondents petition for a rehearing in this action, or modification of the order entered therein, on the following grounds: That no appeal was ever taken from the judgment herein; that the only appeal which was taken was from the order denying plaintiff's motion for a new trial, and the jurisdiction of this court is in ited to sairtning or reversing that | tone magnitude so one ... order; and that the order entered directing judgment for plaining is not warranted even had an appeal been taken from the judgment.

it is contended that the record on appeal does not contain the juegment roll and, consequently, that there can te no appeal from the judgment.

The notice states that the appeal is from the judgment, as well as the order denying the motion for a ow trial. The undertaking on anmeal is conditioned for the payment of costs on appeal from the idegment The trancript 's entitled: "Statement n Metion for New Trial and Adpeal." Copies of all the papers required unr Compiled Laws Sec. 2000 to be allocied in the judgment roll, with he exception of the summons, are obtained in the transcript. There was no motion made to dismiss the appeal from the judgment because of ny alleged defect therein, nor was the sufficiency or regularity of the ropeal questioned upon the presentation of the cause. The case was beliefed, argued and presented as hough the appeal was entirely regfint. Its sufficiency, therefore, cannor new be questioned upon petition

It is urged that this Court, in any may possibly be decided in more should be a new trial ordered on a

respondents offered no evidence, they submitting the case upon the test a moregage and ordering a force length of time after the date fixed, mony offered by the plaintiff. The closeure and sale of the premises to for payment of the \$1000 and for the court ordered judgment in favor of Defendants. Findings prepared by defendan's counsel, which negatived the allogations of plaintin's complaint that there was a sale of the land described therein, were approved by the court. Counsel for the plaintiff moved to strike out the findings so allowed and made request for certain other andtags. Upon the hearing of this motion and request, the court made, among others, the additional finding relative to the sale of the sand to the plaintiff and the right or license to remove the same, in pursuance of which finding judgment was ordered by this Court to be entered in favor of appellant. Counsel for respondent though participating in this hearing, may not have been called upon to except to this finding, it objections were bad thereto, but, in any event, no objection was made or exception taken. In plaintiff's assignments of error in his statement on motion for a new trial and appeal the point is twice made that 't was error in the Court not to give plaintiff judgment in accordance with this finding. Counel for appellant in their opening bris take the position that they were entitled to judgment at least to the extent of the sand and the exclusive license to remove the same as found by the trial court. They close their | throughout with heavy steel rails, brief with the following paragraph:

"Wherefore plaintiff and appellant prays that, inasmuch as all the evidence is before the court the judgment be modified by directing the defendants to execute a deed of said property to plaintiff; and should the court find that plaintiff is not ent'iled to the relief prayed for in the complaint, but is entitled to the lesser relief of a deed to the sand and exclusive rights to remove the same. tt I that the judgment be modified ac-

cordingly.

It will be seen, therefore, that whether judgment by this Court should be ordered entered in favor of the plaintin upon the findings as they stood was equarely before the Court. There was no intimation in respondents' brief that in the event this Court should conclude that the finding as to the sale of the sand was supported by the evidence and that the trial court should have given judgment to that extent in layer of the plainting, that this Court ought not to make an order directing suca judgment to be entered instead o remaining the case for a new tria There was no suggestion that, in the event this court agreed win the con teation or appellant that judgment should have been entered in favor the plaint if upon the midings, this a sw tr., swha be ordered s that the goldners might have a opp runity to over evidence upon the sales of that they man any evidence

County tor roop adents in the presemi tion of this case upon the hear ing or appeal took the sole position that under the pleasings, findings and elicence the appointnt was entitled to no reifef whatever, Although coursel for appealant was asking that jus ment be ordered entered in favor of plained in accordance with the manag relative to the sale of the sand, this finding is no where directly attacked in a spandonts' brief; in facit is not denied that the evidence was staticient to escal ish a sale of sand and a license to receive the same, although it was and is claimed that the proofs as to the limits within which the sand might be taken were

Under this state of facts, we think the contention now made for the first time, that the course pursued by this Court was not a proper one, also, comes too late. It is the rule that no new ground or position not taken in the argument submitting the case, or enestion wally if hy allence, can be considered on paintion for rehearing Powell vs N. C. O. Ry. Co., 18 Nev. Total expenditures, 1905 2,123,536 45 82 Fac. 97; Beck vs Thompson, 22

and witten which the sand has been hold to make been said to the plaint ff This point was remaidered atthough or referred to in the original opinion. There was testimony to the effect hat two of the sides, the South and the tast, were hed out in the presence of B. C. Clow and the plaintiff and at Clow's direction. Counsel in their petition now only contend "that the record on appeal, fairly considered, falls to show that the Western boundary of the hand claimed by appellant was ever indicated or marked by B. G. Clow," As the land in question is triangular in shape, the establishment of two of the sides would necessarily establish the third.

The petition for rehearing is denied TALEOT, J.

Nercross, J.

People You Like to Meet.

the Sante Fe Route. First class travel is attracted to first class roads. The Sante Fe Route is a first-class road. It is one of the three largest 'rail way systems in the world. Present

It extends from Lake Michigan to the Pacific Ocean and Gulf of Mexico reaching with its own ralls Chicago Kansas City, Denver, Fort Worth Galveston, El Paso, Loa Angeles and San Francisco.

It runs the finest and fastest trans continental train, the California Limit

Its meal service managed by Mr. Fred Harvey, is the best in the world Its track is rock ballasted and laid

On such a road as this lang distance records are frequently shattered, the latest being that of the "Scotty Special" Los Angeles to Chicago, 2,265 miles in less than 45 hours.

Every comfort, and luxury desired by modern travelers;

May we sell you a ticket over the Santa Fe. G. F. WARREN, A. T. & S. F. RY.

Salt Lake City, Utah. Or-F. W. PRINCE, San Francisco

Dissolution of Partnership

The copartnership beretofore ext t ing under the style and name of rea ersen and Springmeyer, in the Cite of Carson, County of Orinsby, nabeen dissole dby mutual consent, Mr. Petersen haing purchased the enure interest of C. H. Springmeyer, Mr. Petersen will pay all outstanding claims against said firm and will collect all claims due the firm.

> -6-0--0-0-Notice

A rumor having gone about that I had advanced the price of drugs since the recent earthquake and fire in San Francisco, I wish to state here that the report is without foundation and absolutely false in every particular. F. J. Steinmetz.

-0-0----

RodgerCrow

Blacksmithing and Wagon Horse Sheeing a Specialty.

sood Work and Reasonable Prices.

Shop opposit; the V & T. R. H. breigh Depor

166666** "C46444 4664454664

ANNUAL STATEMENT

7	CHARLEST AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
	Of The Continental Casua Of Hammond Indiana. General office, Chicago.		ny
	Capital (paid up)		20
	Assets		
	Liabilities, exclusive of	caul-	100
	tal and net surplus		70
	Income	INCOME.	-
	Premiums	2,129,749	es
1	Other sources	20,476	
	Total income, 1905	2,160,226	
	Expenditures		
	Losgog	0.000 0.000	900

Other expenditures Business 1905

written It was contended by coursel for Losses incurred 1,009,644 SI

Nevada Business Risks written Premiums received Losses paid A. A. SMITH, Secretary,

The complete story of the Great an Prancisco Earthquake, written by eve witnesses, complete set of actual icv. agent are taking from 15 to orders a day, Credit given, freight tid. Complete outfit free, six come for postage. Now ready. Free book r yourself. The Columbia House,

of Arizona

President Roosevelt says: "It is the one great sight every American should see."

A new \$100,000 Harvey hotel-"El Tovar" is building there

Let me send you a pamphlet about this "Titan of Chasms" and the new hotel



SPECIAL EXCURCIO HON SAM FRANCISCO TO CLITTON MI LICO AND RETURN. DEDLEDE: 16th A select party is beatly right . d by

e southern Pacin . ieas cancisco for Menteo 1 12. De-16th, 1905, Train will contain fine estibule sleepers and daming . . . all he way on going trap l'ima limit will be sixty days, enabling excursionists to make side trips from tity of Mexico to points of interest. an return trip, stopovers will be allo ed at points on the main lines of Moxican Central, Santa Fe o Southern Pacinc. An excursion manager will be in charge and make all arranger ents.

Round trip rate from San Francisco Pullman berth rate to liv o Mex-

For further information address toformation Bureau, 615 Market street

> - (15.) Liberal Offer

San Francisco Cal

I beg to advise my patrons that the price of disc record: (either lictor or Columbial, to take effect comediately, will be as follows und fur-

Ten inch disks formerly 70 ceats will be sold for 60 cents.

Seven lach records former's 50c. now 35c. Take advantage of this of-C W FRU CD.

Notice to Hurset's.

Notice is morely sixed the er, in found hunting without a on the promises comed to bit Vinters, will be presented en number of normers and a of \$5 for the season or 50 cm

OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR to the Honorable, the Board of Cous ty Commissioners, Centlemen

In compliance with the law. I herewith submit my quarterly report showing receipts and disbursements of Ormsby County, during the quarter ending Dec. 30, 1905.

Quarterly Report. Ormsby County, Nevada.

end of last quarter 39108 77% Fees of Co. officers527 05 ines in Justice Court125 00 20 025 56 Rent of Co. biuliding 302 50 Stor nuchine heense 282 00 S. A. apportionment school

Douglas Co., rend work 18 00 Keep W. Boweg45 00 45213 5934

April 1st., 66, Balance cash on General fund4212 28% Co. school fund Dist. 1 19158 4816 Co, school fund Dist. 2 . . . , . , 189 14 Co. shool fund Dist. 3 277 63 4 Co, school fund Dist. A 212 77 State school fund Dist. 1 ... 3859 85 State school fund Dist, 2 ...216 18 State school fund Dist. 3 433 76 Agi. Assn. fund Spel. 1829 54

Co. school fund Dist,1 Spc1 ,7290 20 Co. school fund Dist. 1 library Co school fund Dist, 3 library Co. school fund Dist. 4 fibrary

271277 17% ". B. VA NETTEN

County Treasurer. Disbursements

Co. school fund Dist, I 238 65 Co school (und Dist. 3 19 85 Co. school fund Dist. 4 122 00 State school fund Dist 1 2611 65 State school fund Dist 3120 00 State school fund Dist 4110 00

Co. school fund Spel building Recapitulation

Cash in Treasury January 1, 1906 Receipts from January 1st to March 31st 19069104 81%

Disbursements from Januar, 1st to March 31st 1906......16936 42 Balance cash in Co. Treasury April 1st 190631271 17%

H. DIETERICH County Auditor